

**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CLAMP CURRENT
REGULATION IN FIELD-WEAKENING OPERATION
OF PERMANENT MAGNET (PM) MACHINES**

Background of the Invention

5 Technical Field

The present invention is directed generally to electromechanical machines, and, in particular, to a system and a method for current regulation in the field-weakening operation of permanent magnetic (PM) machines.

Description of the Related Art

10 In the control of inverter-driven permanent magnet (PM) machines, field-weakening is often used to lower the inverter voltage rating for a given application. That is, without field-weakening the inverter manufacturer would have to use components rated to handle higher levels of voltage for that given application. This would undesirably add incremental costs to the drive system. Field-weakening
15 may be accomplished by configuring the machine windings to provide a greater torque per amp ratio, and thus achieve a lower base speed for a given torque load. During high speed operation, the phase current may be applied to the machine windings in advance of the phase electromotive force. To control the electromotive force, the d-axis current is decreased inversely with speed.

20 Above the base speed of the machine, *i.e.*, where the line-to-line electromotive force voltage due to the magnets has become greater or equal to the source voltage, a field-weakening current is applied to the machine in order to maintain torque. The flux created by this current is in opposition to the rotor flux, and thus reduces the effective electromotive force seen by the inverter. It should be
25 stressed that this current should be carefully regulated to a target value set by the commanded torque and rotor speed. Failure to control the current to an appropriate value will likely result in the application of excessive voltage to the inverter, which is undesirable.

 It is known to provide a flux weakening algorithm by the use of a
30 number of look-up tables to produce the reference Q-axis and D-axis currents. However, the use of look-up tables requires the creation of numerous and

cumbersome data structures within the look-up tables themselves to handle all possible situations in the system and its environment.

As further background, as alluded to, operation in the field-weakening region of PM machines may involve commanding the required D-axis current (I_{ds}) as a function of speed. This can be implemented as a look-up (noted above) or as a mathematical function. Another disadvantage, however, of this approach is that if the magnetic characteristics of the PM machine change due to rotor temperature variations and/or the DC-link voltage change, the look-up table or function may no longer be valid.

This may cause the current regulator to exceed the voltage limit, which results in the loss of machine control, which is undesirable.

Accordingly, there is a need for a control system that minimizes or eliminates one or more of the above-mentioned shortcomings.

Summary of the Invention

One object of the present invention is to provide a solution to one or more of the problems as set forth above. The invention relates to system and method for control of permanent magnet (PM) machines. The invention provides additional functionality in the field-weakening region. To extend the operational speed range of PM machines, it is necessary to de-flux the machine by applying a negative I_{ds} current (current in the synchronous D-axis). One advantage of the invention is that it applies the optimal amount of I_{ds} and I_{qs} at each operating point at and above the base speed of the PM machine.

The invention detects when the output of a current regulator exceeds the available voltage vector, and in response thereto, provides for clamping the proportional-integral (PI) current regulators at the available voltage vector. Through the foregoing, the invention ensures that the current regulator does not run out of voltage, maximizes machine efficiency, and provides a maximum possible torque in the field-weakening region. It is important to note that the base speed point may change significantly depending on DC-link voltage variations and on rotor temperature, which can change the magnetic characteristics of the machine. Nonetheless, a controller in accordance with the present invention is able to properly adjust to the variable base speed.

A device in accordance with the present invention is provided to regulate current provided to a permanent magnet (PM) machine. The device includes a processing and drive circuit, and a current regulator. The current regulator includes a command circuit, a control circuit, and a limiter. The processing and drive circuit is responsive to a direct voltage command signal (V_{ds}) and a quadrature voltage command signal (V_{qs}) configured to produce a plurality of phase current signals for input to the PM machine. The command circuit is responsive to a current input command signal. The command circuit is configured to produce a direct current error signal and a quadrature current error signal. The control circuit is responsive to the direct and quadrature current error signals and is configured to produce the direct and quadrature voltage command signals. Finally, in accordance with the present invention, the limiter is configured to limit the direct and quadrature voltage command signals to respective preselected levels.

Other features, objects and advantages of the present invention will become apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art from the description that follows and may be realized by means of the instrumentalities and combinations particularly pointed out in the appended claims, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

Brief Description of the Drawings

The present invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic and block diagram of a permanent magnet (PM) machine drive employing an exemplary control system according to the invention.

Figure 2 illustrates, in greater detail, an exemplary d-axis current clamp arrangement employed in the circuit of Figure 1.

Figure 3 illustrates, in greater detail, an exemplary q-axis current clamp arrangement employed in the circuit of Figure 1.

Description of the Preferred Embodiments

The invention is directed to a method and apparatus for current control in permanent magnet (PM) machines. The method is configured to produce additional functionality in the field-weakening region.

5 To extend the operational speed range of permanent magnet (PM) machines, it is necessary to de-flux the machine by applying additional negative current in the synchronous D-axis, I_{ds} . The advantage of the method is that it applies the appropriate amount of current at each operating point across the entire speed range of the machine, that is, in the constant torque region and in the field-weakening
10 region.

An important feature of the invention is that when an output of a current regulator would otherwise exceed the available voltage, the limiter of the invention clamps the voltage vector.

It is important to note that the base-speed point of a PM machine may
15 change significantly depending upon variations in rotor temperature and the DC-link voltage. However, the invention is able to properly adjust to the variable base-speed.

Figure 1 shows a block diagram of an exemplary system 10 according to the invention. System 10 includes a device for regulating current in a PM machine 12 and includes a processing and drive circuit 11, a first transform circuit 24 and a
20 current regulator 30. The device is adapted for controlling a permanent magnet (PM) machine 12, *e.g.*, a motor/generator, having a stator S and a rotor R for driving a shaft.

Processing and drive circuit 11 includes a second transform circuit 22, a space vector modulation block 26, a pulse-width modulation (PWM) modulator 18, and an inverter 14. The machine 12 is driven by a three phase inverter 14 coupled to
25 a DC-link voltage source 16 (V_{dc}). V_{dc} is sometimes hereinafter referred to as the link voltage. A pulse width modulator (PWM) 18 drives the inverter 14 in a known way.

Control of the PM machine 12 may be implemented by a digital signal processor (DSP) or the like. Such DSPs are known and are arranged to be responsive
30 to various inputs for producing control outputs, for driving the machine 12 according to the invention. That is, DSPs may be used for implementing one or more of the blocks described herein, in accordance with its respective, described functional requirements. A sensor S θ is coupled to machine 12 to produce a sensor rotor

position (mechanical rotor position) signal θ_r . The sensed rotor position signal θ_r is coupled to a conversion block 13 designated "P/2" configured to convert mechanical rotor position into an electrical rotor position θ_e . "P" is the number of machine poles. The electrical rotor position θ_e is coupled to a pair of coordinate transform circuits 22 and 24, as shown. The coordinate transform 22 transforms D-axis and Q-axis modulation index signals (V_{ds} and V_{qs} , sometimes referred to as direct and quadrature voltage command signals) to produce modulation index signals in stationary coordinates α and β . The modulation index signals in the stationary coordinate frame are coupled to and modulated by a space vector modulator 26 in a known manner to produce outputs (designated DUTY a, DUTY b and DUTY c) that drive the voltage PWM modulator 18. These outputs provide the duty cycle information to PWM modulator 18. PWM modulator block 18 generates the gate drive signals for inverter 14 for each of the three phases a, b and c, which provides voltage to machine 12.

Drive phase currents signals a, b and c to machine 12 from inverter 14 drive the machine 12. These are coupled in feedback relation to the coordinate transform 24 which transforms motor drive phase current signals from the inverter 14 to direct and quadrature synchronous feedback axis signals I_{dsf} and I_{qsf} , respectively. These signals are provided to clamp current regulator 30.

Clamp current regulator 30 is responsive to the direct and quadrature synchronous feedback axis signals I_{dsf} and I_{qsf} and is configured to produce the direct and quadrature voltage command signals V_{ds} and V_{qs} . Regulator 30 includes a direct-axis current command block 32, a quadrature-axis current command block 33, first and second summers 34 and 36, and first and second control circuits 38 and 40.

The clamp current regulator 30 includes an I_{ds} current command block 32 and an I_{qs} current command block 33 which calculate direct and quadrature current command I_{ds}^* and I_{qs}^* , respectively (Commands are designated by an asterisk (*)). The current command signals I_{ds}^* and I_{qs}^* are summed with the respective direct and quadrature synchronous feedback signals I_{dsf} and I_{qsf} at summing nodes 34 and 36 respectively. I_{ds}^* and I_{qs}^* are each coupled to the non-inverting (+) inputs of respective summing nodes 34 and 36. I_{dsf} and I_{qsf} are coupled to the inverting (-) inputs of respective nodes 34 and 36. The summed signals output

from summers 34, 36 respectively represent the D-axis current error signal I_{d_error} and the Q-axis current error signal I_{q_error} . The error signals are coupled to corresponding proportional-integral (PI) control circuits 38 and 40. The outputs of the PI control circuits represent the D-axis voltage V_{ds} and the Q-axis voltage V_{qs} respectively.

5 These signals are coupled to the transform circuit 22 for appropriate transformations as noted above. The voltage signals V_{ds} and V_{qs} are also fed back to the I_{ds} current command block 32 as shown.

The proportional-integral control circuits 38 and 40 (*i.e.*, PI current regulators) include limiters for clamping the D-axis and Q-axis modulation index
10 signals V_{ds} and V_{qs} to predetermined voltage levels to prevent an undesirable loss of current regulation, to maximize machine efficiency and to provide maximum torque in the field-weakening region.

The current command circuit 32 receives a Torque* command input, and a zero vector time control command signal T_0^* as an input. Alternatively, the
15 current command circuit 32 may receive, in lieu of T_0^* , a quantity corresponding to the addition of a time 1 vector (T_1) and a time 2 vector (T_2) as a control signal, *i.e.*, designated as $(T_1+T_2)^*$ in the drawings. In a still further embodiment, again in lieu of T_0^* or $(T_1+T_2)^*$, circuit 32 may receive a voltage magnitude command signal, designated V_{MAG}^* . Note that $T_0 + T_1 + T_2 = 1$. The I_{ds} current command circuit 32
20 receives a feedback signal corresponding to the zero vector time T_0 from space vector modulator 26. The feedback signal from space modulator 26 may alternatively be in the form of the sum of vectors 1 and 2 *i.e.*, (T_1+T_2) or according to a known relationship, namely $V_{MAG} = \sqrt{V_{ds}^2 + V_{qs}^2}$.

Figure 2 illustrates in greater detail the I_{ds} current command circuit 32
25 and control circuit 38. First, circuit 32 will be described in greater detail. As illustrated, for the D-axis, the zero time vector T_0 is fed back from space vector modulator 26 and is input to a ripple filter 42. The output of filter 42 is a time zero feedback output T_{0f} which is provided to the non-inverting (+) input of summer 44. The zero time vector control command signal T_0^* is coupled to the inverting input (-)
30 of the summer 44. The difference produced by and output from summer 44 is an error signal T_{0e} which is coupled to a proportional integrator (PI) circuit 46, whose output feeds limiter or clamp 48. The clamp 48 has a feedback loop of 50, which is coupled

to the PI circuit 46 as shown. The limiter 48 produces an output designated I_{dsT0} , which is limited to values less than or equal to zero in a preferred embodiment, although more generally, such limiter may limit between $-Variable\ (lower) \leq I_{dsT0} \leq Variable\ (upper)$.

5 The output I_{dsT0} of limiter 48 is summed at node 54 with a look up table (I_{dsLUT}) output for I_{ds} from a maximum torque per ampere curve block 52, both of which are non-inverted, as shown, to produce the D-axis current command control signal I_{ds}^* . Block 52 is configured to receive the $TORQUE^*$ command control signal.

10 Turning now to the circuit 38 in Figure 2, the I_{ds}^* signal and I_{dsf} feedback signal are summed at the non-inverting (+) and inverting (-) inputs of node 34, respectively, to produce an error signal designated Id error, which is coupled to parallel connected proportional gain circuit 56 and an integrating function circuit 58. Proportional circuit 56 produces an output that is coupled to the non-inverting
15 input (+) of summing node 60. The integrating circuit 58 includes an input coupled to node 34. Circuit 58 includes a second input configured to receive a feedback signal from a clamp or limiting circuit 62 having a feedback loop 64 as shown. The output of circuit 58 is provided to clamp or limiter 62.

 The proportional gain circuit 56 controls, among other things, the
20 transient components of the Id error signal, and the integrating circuit 58 controls, among other things, steady state components of the Id error signal. The clamp 62, when implemented, is used to limit the steady state value within an allowable range (e.g., $-V_{mag}^* \leq V_{ds} \leq V_{mag}^*$). The output of the clamp 62 is coupled to another non-inverting input (+) of summing node 60. The output of node 60 is the unclamped
25 D-axis modulation index signal V_{ds} . This signal is coupled to clamp or limiting circuit 66, and when engaged, the output of the clamp 66 is the clamped D-axis modulation index signal V_{ds} . This may correspond as well to the range: $-V_{mag}^* \leq V_{ds} \leq V_{mag}^*$. As shown in Figure 1, the V_{ds} signal is coupled to the transform circuit 22 and is fed back to the current command circuit 32. The second clamp 66
30 limits the overall output V_{ds} .

 Figure 3 shows, in greater detail, Q-axis control circuit 40 (i.e., PI current regulator). I_{qs} current command block 33 produces an output, thereof the I_{qs}^* command control signal, in response to the torque command signal $TORQUE^*$

and feedback signal I_{dsf} from transform block 24. The Q-axis reference or command signal I_{qs}^* is coupled to the non-inverting input (+) of the node 36. The I_{qsf} feedback signal from transform circuit 24 is coupled to the inverting input (-) of the node 36. The node 36 produces an error signal designated $I_{q_{error}}$ signal. The $I_{q_{error}}$ signal is coupled to a proportional gain controller 70 and an integrator function block 72. Proportional gain circuit 70 controls, among other things, transient signals and the integrating circuit 72 controls, among other things, steady state signals. The output of the proportional gain circuit 70 is coupled to the non-inverting input (+) of a summing node 74. The output of the integrator 72 is coupled to a clamp or limiter 76. The clamp 76, when implemented, is used to limit the steady state value within an allowable range defined as follows:

$$\text{MOTORING MODE: } MIN \leq V_{qs} \leq \left[\sqrt{V_{mag}^2 - V_{ds}^2} \right] * K$$

$$\text{GENERATING MODE: } MIN \leq V_{qs} \leq V_{mag} * K$$

where MIN is a lower limit, and which is preferably zero.

The output of the clamp 76 is coupled to another non-inverting input (+) of summing node 74 and is fed back over feedback loop 78 to integrator 72, as shown. The signals are summed at node 74, and the output of the node 74 is the unclamped V_{qs} . This signal is coupled to a clamp or limiting circuit 80, and when engaged, the output of the clamp is a clamped Q-axis modulation index signal V_{qs} . This, in turn, is coupled to the transform circuit 22 and is fed back to the current command circuit 32 as shown in Figure 1. The second clamp 80 limits the overall output V_{qs} in accordance with the following equations:

$$\text{MOTORING MODE: } MIN \leq V_{qs} \leq \left[\sqrt{V_{mag}^2 - V_{ds}^2} \right] * K$$

$$\text{GENERATING MODE: } MIN \leq V_{qs} \leq V_{mag} * K$$

When activated, clamps 66 and 80 limit the overall D and Q axis signals V_{ds} and V_{qs} . Clamps 62 and 76 limit or clamp the steady state signals components of the V_{ds} and V_{qs} signals.

Alternatively, V_{ds} and V_{qs} limits can be calculated as follows:

If Delta is greater than Delta Maximum, V_{ds} and V_{qs} are recalculated

as follows:

$$V_{ds} = -V_{mag} * [\sin(\text{Delta Maximum})],$$

$$V_{qs} = V_{mag} * [\cos(\text{Delta Maximum})],$$

If Delta is less than Delta Minimum, Vds and Vqs are recalculated as follows:

$$V_{ds} = -V_{mag} * [\sin(\Delta \text{ Minimum})],$$

$$V_{qs} = V_{mag} * [\cos(\Delta \text{ Minimum})],$$

5

Where Delta is defined as follows:

$$\Delta = \arctan(-V_{ds}/V_{qs})$$

Delta must be within the following range:

$$\Delta \text{ Minimum} \leq \Delta \leq \Delta \text{ Maximum}.$$

10

Activation of clamps 66 and 80 occurs when the unclamped Vds and unclamped Vqs are out of their respective, selected voltage vector ranges, *i.e.*, magnitude and direction (delta angle). Once engaged, clamps 66 and 80, with corresponding clamps 62 and 76, are operative through feedback lines 67 and 81 to implement an algorithm according to the invention to limit Vds and Vqs to the clamped values shown.

15

From the foregoing, it can be seen that a new and improved device to regulate current consumed by a PM machine has been provided. It is to be understood that the description of the exemplary embodiments is merely illustrative of some of the many specific embodiments that represent applications of the principles of the present invention. Other arrangements would be evident to those skilled in the art without departing from the scope of the invention as defined by the following claims.

20